1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 6 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 7 8 ANTHONY LYNN COUCH SR., CASE NO. 3:21-cv-05804-RSM-BAT Plaintiff, 9 ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S v. 10 MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO RESPOND TO SUMMARY RICK SCOTT, et al., 11 JUDGMENT (DKT. 34) Defendant. 12 13 On April 20, 2022, Defendants moved for summary judgment. Dkt. 24. The motion was 14 noted for May 13, 2022; Plaintiff's response was thus due no later than May 9, 2022. On May 12, 15 2022, Plaintiff moved for a 45-day extension to respond to the summary judgment motion. He 16 contends he was not able to view the motion until May 4, 2022 and needs more time to respond 17 due to repairs to the prison library. Dkt. 34. 18 Although Plaintiff's motion for extension is untimely, the Court grants him leeway 19 because he proceeds pro se and is in custody. Defendants have not responded to the motion and 20 Plaintiff's request an extension is thus ripe for the Court's review. The Court accordingly 21 **ORDERS**: 22 Plaintiff's motion for extension, Dkt. 34, is **GRANTED**. (1) 23 (2) Any response Plaintiff wishes to file to the motion for summary judgment shall be ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO RESPOND TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DKT. 34) - 1

filed no later than June 23, 2022. (3) The Clerk shall renote the motion for summary judgment for June 24, 2022 as ready for the Court's consideration. The Clerk shall provide a copy of this order to the parties and to Chief Judge (4) Martinez. DATED this 18th day of May, 2022. BRIAN A. TSUCHIDA United States Magistrate Judge

ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION TO RESPOND TO SUMMARY JUDGMENT (DKT. 34) - 2